

# SUPPORTED LIVING: A NEW PARADIGM

Peter Kinsella

1993

National Development Team

United Kingdom

# Shifting Paradigms in American Services

Focus	Rehabilitation	Independent living	Support/empowerment
<i>Definition of problem</i>	Physical impairment, lack of vocational skill, psychological maladjustment, lack of motivation and cooperation	Dependence on professionals, relatives and others; inadequate support services; architectural barriers; economic barriers	Attitudinal, political, economic and administrative barriers to societal participation; inadequate supports within society
<i>Locus of problem</i>	In individual	In environment, in rehabilitation process	In society/environment; in rehabilitation process
<i>Social role(s)</i>	Patient-client	Consumer	Co-worker, community member, student, neighbour, so forth
<i>Solution to problem</i>	Professional intervention by physician, physical therapist, occupational therapist, vocational counsellor, and others	Peer counselling; advocacy; self-help; consumer control; removal of barriers and disincentives	Redesign of schools, homes, work places, health-care systems, transportation, and social environments to include everyone
<i>Who is in control</i>	Professional	Consumer	People in alliance with each other
<i>Desired outcomes</i>	Maximum activities of daily living (ADL), gainful employment, improved motivation, completed treatment	Self-direction, least restrictive environment, social and economic productivity	Pluralistic society inclusive of all people; quality lives as defined by people themselves; self-direction embedded in collaborative decision making and problem solving

# Change

- ◎ John O'Brien states that there are two ways organizations and systems can develop:
  1. A **First Order Change** is where there is no change to the basic structure, assumptions and values of the system or organization, simply people learn how to do things better.
  2. A **Second Order Change** is where people not only change how they do things, but how they approach, respond to and evaluate situations.

# Where we (Just the U.K. and the U.S.A.?) are coming from:

- We moved into the Independent model (From institutionalisation and segregation) in the 70s:
- Peter Kinsella says that the new paradigm in the U.K. since the 80s was a first order change and describes this as: “we created smaller institutions in the community...(and) relocated institutional practices (within the community). Support is still tied to buildings; People live with people they do not necessarily get on with; And staff work to set shifts in houses...Maybe this is not such a good way of doing things after all”

# The Current Paradigm, since the 80s:

- America moved into the community membership, support and empowerment model in the 80s, The U.K. trailed this shift.
- “...people as community members not clients”
- “People with learning disabilities are asserting themselves more, through collective action, and the best examples of person-centred planning are giving individuals a full say in the supports that they receive and the things that they do”.

# The transition to person-centred community-based supports requires four major paradigm shifts:

From	To
Programs	Flexible Support
Facilities	Community Membership
Management	Leadership
Mechanical Change	Spiritual Change

# What is Supported Living?

- Supported Living is people choosing where they live, who they live with, which supports they get and the lifestyle they lead (Kinsella 1993).
- NDT (1993) state that Supported Living is:
  - Separating Housing and Support
  - Focusing on one person at a time
  - Full user choice and control
  - Rejecting no-one
  - Focusing on relationships; making use of informal supports and community resources

# Roommate Guidelines from 1993

A 'room mate' can be

1. A friend
  2. A confidant
  3. A source of support
  4. Someone to celebrate with
  5. Or someone to despair with
  6. Or sometimes a practical arrangement
- ⦿ Sometimes a roommate will help a person get involved in their local community and they will participate in some activities together.
  - ⦿ “Not liking the roommate who lives with you is good enough reason for them to be told to leave”; “... the most important factor is that both people must want to live with each other.





# THE CHOICE BETWEEN A REAL HOME AND A PROGRAM (SUMMARY)

Kendrick, Michael

1993

*Progress*, Volume 2(1)

USA

# Q: When is a home not a home?

## A: When it is a facility

- The qualities of a home vary but they are based on a rich cultural heritage that underlies the true concept of a "real" home. These features may include:
  - A place where we can be ourselves and feel comfortable
  - A place that expresses our personality
  - A place we choose that has a sense of family, intimacy and privacy
  - A place to contain our personal relationships and social life
  - A place of our own where we can feel safe and secure

# How to Make a Home

- 1) The residents served should assist in the selection and location of the home.
- 2) They should help to decorate and furnish their home environment.
- 3) They should decide who they want to live with.
- 4) They should have a voice in staff selection.
- 5) Agencies should hire staff whose personal orientation, commitment, and attributes are targeted towards helping people make a home for themselves.
- 6) Programming, treatment, and related practices are either kept out of the home setting, or if necessary blended carefully into the home-life so they do not disturb the home setting.
- 7) Agencies should not bring their bureaucracy into the home. This means agency materials, meetings, offices, or equipment.

# How to Make a Home (Continued)

- 8) Home sites should be integrated into their neighborhoods. The houses should be attractive, well cared for, and similar in appearance to neighboring households.
- 9) The home should be close to work, family, recreation and convenient to other interests of the people who live there.
- 10) Intimacy, sharing, personal ownership and possessions should be encouraged.
- 11) Regulatory concerns of funding agencies should be addressed in such a way that the home remains a home.
- 12) The house is at all times, legally and otherwise the home of the residents, and not the staff or the agency.
- 13) The agency should stress in its mission, and in its communication to staff, consumers and families that the concept of home in its residences is a worthy and preeminent goal of the organization.



# AGGRESSION, SOCIABILITY, AND ROOMMATE FRIENDSHIP: NEW FINDINGS TRANSLATED INTO A RESOURCE FOR SELF- DETERMINED CHOICES

James Wiltz and Tracy Kalnins

2008

Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disability Vol 5(3)

pp159-166

USA

# Friendships and People with an Intellectual Disability

1

“Almost half of 3,630 people with an intellectual disability had no friends, “while it is nearly certain that many of these individuals had roommates”

2

“Maintaining at least some friendships has been identified as a possible protective factor against developing psychological disorders”

3

“Not having friends can have negative psychological implications”

4

“Particularly important may be close relationships, such as those with roommates”



“...practical information not only can improve outcomes, it also can increase self-determination”

“...rely too heavily on self-determination as the ultimate solution to problems”

+  
“...simply use the method and ignore the individual”

=  
“...integrate relevant data into a self-determined selection process for better informed-choices”

From college literature reviews – “...roommate similarities were associated with compatability”

# 2 Independent samples:

186 (93 pairs) adults with intellectual disabilities

26% were in the moderate to severe or profound category

In community based housing, across three cities

52 adults (26 dyads) with intellectual disabilities

84% were in the moderate to severe or profound category

Living in a large congregate-care settings

# Study 1 Hypothesis

1. “Roommate similarity on the need for “**Order**” is associated with greater friendship” - **Rejected**
2. “Roommate similarity on the need for “**Social Contact**” (sociability) is associated with greater friendship” - **Supported**. “Sociable people prefer sociable roommates, and nonsociable individuals want to live with nonsociable others,  $r=0.302$  ( $p<0.01$ , two-tailed)
3. “Roommate similarity on the need for “**Independence**” is associated with greater friendship” - **Rejected**
4. “The need for “**Vengeance**”, which theoretically is related to aggression, is a hindrance to roommate relationships whether pairs are similar or not” - **Supported**.  $r=-0.479$  ( $p<0.01$ , two-tailed) between vengeance and friendship scores

# Study 2 Hypothesis

1. Roommate friendship is associated with similarity on the need for “**Social Contact**” (sociability), but not to overall sociability – **Supported**,  $r=0.429$  ( $p<0.05$ , two-tailed)
2. Roommate friendship is associated with similarity on the need for “**Order**”, but not to overall orderliness - **Rejected**
3. Roommate friendship is negatively correlated to overall **vengeance** in the relationship (**Supported**) and that high-high vengeance dyads will have lower friendship scores than low-high vengeance pairs (**Not Supported**)
4. Reiss’s vengeance scale is associated with the index of aggression score – **Supported**,  $r=0.840$  ( $p<0.01$ , two-tailed)
5. Roommate friendship is negatively correlated to the index of aggression score – **Supported**,  $r=-0.522$  ( $p<0.01$ , two-tailed)

# Main points from the study

- 1) “One way that advocates can appropriately support people with ID is by providing them with information that is relevant to their choices, such as selecting a roommate”
- 2) “...similarity in both communities in sociability was correlated to higher levels of friendship”

# Guidelines: when supporting people to choose their roommates (Condensed)

- 1) Determine the person's level of aggression.
- 2) Determine the person's sociability
- 3) Screening
  - A highly aggressive individual will be incompatible as a roommate. The author recommends they do not share living spaces without supports in place to handle crises and to facilitate positive relations with others in the home.
  - Highly sociable people should be encouraged to select people with similar sociability levels and vice-versa for non-sociable individuals.
- 4) Arrange get togethers before the final decision on the selection of roommates.
5. "It is important for teams to assist in self-determined roommate selection. But the individual makes the final choice
- 6) Provide follow up and checks for satisfaction

End