

## **Cost comparison of Person-Centered Wing and Traditional Group-based supports Monday, 15/04/2013**

### *Methodology*

The Person-centred Wing (PCW) figure quoted reflects current expenditure (annual) for the individual in respect of the full employment costs of direct support **and** the full employment costs associated with the co-ordinator role.

The comparator figure reflects the \*ascribed costs associated with supporting an equivalent individual on a 24 x 7 basis via a combination of community group home and access to a conventional day service.

**N.B.1** Costs associated with the more conventional group-based arrangements tend to be very stable, indeed fixed. The cost profile associated with the person-centred wing is more dynamic. A profile of costs spiking during early-installation phase followed by phases of declining cost is frequently seen in the PCW.

Overall, the flow in cost fluctuation within the person-centred wing tends towards a declining pattern of expenditure.

---

\* The actual cost quoted is based on calculating the mid-point of an ascribed cost range (working from the historic convention of an average funding of €80,000 for 7-day residential and €20,000 for day service and deducting 15% to reflect the funding retrenchments of the past four years). The ascribed cost range reflects the costs we have historically incurred in supporting such individuals.

The following comparators are based on the eleven individuals currently being supported on a 24 x 7 basis for whom we have sufficient information to determine an annualised costing.

Candidate 1: €59,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €80,800;  
73% of conventional cost, saving of 27%;

Candidate 2: €29,127 versus an estimated group comparator of €63,750;  
45% of conventional cost, saving of 55%;

Candidate 3: €68,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €119,000;  
57% of conventional cost, saving of 43%

Candidate 4: €130,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €102,000;  
127% of conventional cost, extra cost of 27%

Candidate 5: €46,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €74,400;  
62% of conventional cost, saving of 38%;

Candidate 6: €144,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €191,000;  
75% of conventional cost, saving of 25%;

Candidate 7: €45,537 versus an estimated group comparator of €78,600;  
58% of conventional cost, saving of 42%;

Candidate 8: €76,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €72,250;  
105% of conventional cost, extra cost of 5%;

Candidate 9: €31,182 versus estimated group comparator of €89,250;  
35% of conventional cost, saving of 65%;

Candidate 10: €19,000 versus an estimated group comparator of €25,500;  
75% of conventional cost, saving of 25%;

Candidate 11: €27,341 versus an estimated group comparator of €34,000;  
80% of conventional cost, saving of 20%.